

---

**From:** Aaron Burdick <[aaron.burdick@ethree.com](mailto:aaron.burdick@ethree.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, March 18, 2022 12:27 PM

**To:** James,Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <[eajames@bpa.gov](mailto:eajames@bpa.gov)>; Koehler,Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <[bgkoehler@bpa.gov](mailto:bgkoehler@bpa.gov)>; Diffely,Robert J (BPA) - PGPL-5 <[rjdiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:rjdiffely@bpa.gov)>

**Cc:** Jack Moore <[jack@ethree.com](mailto:jack@ethree.com)>; Arne Olson <[arne@ethree.com](mailto:arne@ethree.com)>; Angineh Zohrabian <[angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com](mailto:angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com)>; Sierra Spencer <[sierra.spencer@ethree.com](mailto:sierra.spencer@ethree.com)>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: BPA-E3 Check-In

*Deliberative; FOIA-exempt*

Thanks Eve. Very helpful. We do see 0 MW during winter months, so we won't remove those. We just have hourly generation data, without explicit callouts for outages.

Aaron

---

**From:** James,Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <[eajames@bpa.gov](mailto:eajames@bpa.gov)>

**Sent:** Friday, March 18, 2022 12:00 PM

**To:** Aaron Burdick <[aaron.burdick@ethree.com](mailto:aaron.burdick@ethree.com)>; Koehler,Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <[bgkoehler@bpa.gov](mailto:bgkoehler@bpa.gov)>; Diffely,Robert J (BPA) - PGPL-5 <[rjdiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:rjdiffely@bpa.gov)>

**Cc:** Jack Moore <[jack@ethree.com](mailto:jack@ethree.com)>; Arne Olson <[arne@ethree.com](mailto:arne@ethree.com)>; Angineh Zohrabian <[angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com](mailto:angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com)>; Sierra Spencer <[sierra.spencer@ethree.com](mailto:sierra.spencer@ethree.com)>

**Subject:** RE: BPA-E3 Check-In

*Deliberative; FOIA-exempt*

Hi Aaron-

The lower Snake projects are able to operate to 0 generation by choice (not outage related) in Dec – Feb so do not remove the 0 MW data during those months as they are likely correct to optimize reservoir content to generate during peak load periods. During the summer months when the projects are operating at “minimum generation spill the rest” due to low flows, one unit loaded at each project results in a 4 dam generation total of ~320 MW. Does your dataset show if there were powerhouse outages in the historical time periods you are looking at?

Thanks,

Eve

---

**From:** Aaron Burdick <[aaron.burdick@ethree.com](mailto:aaron.burdick@ethree.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, March 18, 2022 11:42 AM

**To:** James,Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <[eajames@bpa.gov](mailto:eajames@bpa.gov)>; Koehler,Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <[bgkoehler@bpa.gov](mailto:bgkoehler@bpa.gov)>; Diffely,Robert J (BPA) - PGPL-5 <[rjdiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:rjdiffely@bpa.gov)>

**Cc:** Jack Moore <[jack@ethree.com](mailto:jack@ethree.com)>; Arne Olson <[arne@ethree.com](mailto:arne@ethree.com)>; Angineh Zohrabian <[angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com](mailto:angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com)>; Sierra Spencer <[sierra.spencer@ethree.com](mailto:sierra.spencer@ethree.com)>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: BPA-E3 Check-In

*Deliberative; FOIA-exempt*

Quick question: we are doing some data quality checks on our historical hydro hourly data. Do you have a sense of the minimum generation for the 4 lower snake river dams? Clearly we'll remove a few 0 MW values we found, but am seeking your input on what a reasonable lower bound is for the 4 dams min gen, e.g. would they ever operate at a combined output of 50 MW or is that too small? 100 MW? 200 MW? Etc.

Thanks,

Aaron

---

**From:** James,Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <[eajames@bpa.gov](mailto:eajames@bpa.gov)>

**Sent:** Thursday, March 17, 2022 10:07 AM

**To:** Aaron Burdick <[aaron.burdick@ethree.com](mailto:aaron.burdick@ethree.com)>; Koehler,Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <[bgkoehler@bpa.gov](mailto:bgkoehler@bpa.gov)>; Diffely,Robert J (BPA) - PGPL-5 <[rjdiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:rjdiffely@bpa.gov)>

**Cc:** Jack Moore <[jack@ethree.com](mailto:jack@ethree.com)>; Arne Olson <[arne@ethree.com](mailto:arne@ethree.com)>; Angineh Zohrabian <[angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com](mailto:angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com)>; Sierra Spencer <[sierra.spencer@ethree.com](mailto:sierra.spencer@ethree.com)>

**Subject:** RE: BPA-E3 Check-In

*Deliberative; FOIA-exempt*

Sounds good- let me know who would be best to talk through the parameter adjustments. I have some information that we used in an analysis to adjust some actual data when we were determining the cost of the new flexible spill program that was chosen as the spill operations for the CRSO EIS.

I also forgot to mention on my Tues email that I did confirm we would like to use the 2032 removal year as the primary assumption with 2024 as a scenario.

Thanks,  
Eve

---

**From:** Aaron Burdick <[aaron.burdick@ethree.com](mailto:aaron.burdick@ethree.com)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, March 16, 2022 5:38 PM

**To:** James,Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <[eajames@bpa.gov](mailto:eajames@bpa.gov)>; Koehler,Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <[bgkoehler@bpa.gov](mailto:bgkoehler@bpa.gov)>; Diffely,Robert J (BPA) - PGPL-5 <[rjdiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:rjdiffely@bpa.gov)>

**Cc:** Jack Moore <[jack@ethree.com](mailto:jack@ethree.com)>; Arne Olson <[arne@ethree.com](mailto:arne@ethree.com)>; Angineh Zohrabian <[angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com](mailto:angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com)>; Sierra Spencer <[sierra.spencer@ethree.com](mailto:sierra.spencer@ethree.com)>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: BPA-E3 Check-In

*Deliberative; FOIA-exempt*

Thanks Eve for this information. Regarding the hydro data, I think the best path is to adjust the parameters from our hydro model, which will avoid misalignments between the historical data we use to develop WECC-wide hydro inputs (including the hydro beyond the core northwest region). We'll follow up in the next day or two with a draft set for your review.

All the best,  
Aaron

---

**From:** James,Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <[eajames@bpa.gov](mailto:eajames@bpa.gov)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 15, 2022 5:05 PM

**To:** Aaron Burdick <[aaron.burdick@ethree.com](mailto:aaron.burdick@ethree.com)>; Koehler,Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <[bgkoehler@bpa.gov](mailto:bgkoehler@bpa.gov)>; Diffely,Robert J (BPA) - PGPL-5 <[rjdiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:rjdiffely@bpa.gov)>

**Cc:** Jack Moore <[jack@ethree.com](mailto:jack@ethree.com)>; Arne Olson <[arne@ethree.com](mailto:arne@ethree.com)>; Angineh Zohrabian <[angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com](mailto:angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com)>; Sierra Spencer <[sierra.spencer@ethree.com](mailto:sierra.spencer@ethree.com)>

**Subject:** RE: BPA-E3 Check-In

*Deliberative; FOIA-exempt*

Thanks for sending out the action items Aaron. Here's the information for the BPA bullets:

- The F&W cost in the CRSO EIS was \$34,060,200 and spread evenly across the four plants results in a cost of generation:



## FY2022 \$/MWh

Plant	50-year Forecast with LSRC
Lower Granite	\$22.69
Little Goose	\$15.71
Lower Monumental	\$12.58
Ice Harbor	\$15.84

**Cost Calculation:** The O&M budgets used a flat 2% inflation rate. Capital numbers were based on modeled replacement needs influenced by asset risk so they varied year-to-year before levelizing. The capital replacement assumptions were inflated per asset using the 2021 BPA common planning assumptions for inflation (attached). Costs were levelized using BPA's nominal discount rate of 6.2% so the result is a levelized cost in real 2022 dollars.

**Data availability:** The hourly modeling data available is only for the 80 water year set which ends in 2008. We are working on adding another 10 years to the water year set which would include 2011 but that won't be completed in time for this analysis. Either a different wet year would need to be selected (e.g. 1996 or 1997) or if wet year cannot be changed than some sort of adjustment to the Pmin, Pmax, and the daily MWh budget could be made to adjust the historical data to reflect higher spill operations and less generation for fish passage season. Let me know which option you would prefer.

**From:** Aaron Burdick <[aaron.burdick@ethree.com](mailto:aaron.burdick@ethree.com)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 15, 2022 12:09 PM

**To:** Koehler,Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <[bgkoehler@bpa.gov](mailto:bgkoehler@bpa.gov)>; James,Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <[eajames@bpa.gov](mailto:eajames@bpa.gov)>; Diffely,Robert J (BPA) - PGPL-5 <[rjdiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:rjdiffely@bpa.gov)>

**Cc:** Jack Moore <[jack@ethree.com](mailto:jack@ethree.com)>; Arne Olson <[arne@ethree.com](mailto:arne@ethree.com)>; Angineh Zohrabian <[angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com](mailto:angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com)>; Sierra Spencer <[sierra.spencer@ethree.com](mailto:sierra.spencer@ethree.com)>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: BPA-E3 Check-In

*Deliberative; FOIA-exempt*

Capturing action items from today's meeting:

- BPA to share fish and wildlife costs for continuing LSR dam operations
- BPA to share cost calculation details (levelization, dollar year, etc.) for the \$/MWh values provided
- E3 to continue developing hydro constraints based on historical data and share w/ BPA in next couple days
- BPA to confirm availability of simulated hydro data w/ more recent biological operating constraints for the historical years currently being used in RESOLVE (calendar years 2001, 2005, and 2011)
- E3 to incorporate today's discussion and share an updated scenario design for BPA review

All the best,

Aaron

**From:** Aaron Burdick

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 15, 2022 9:56 AM

**To:** Koehler,Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <[bgkoehler@bpa.gov](mailto:bgkoehler@bpa.gov)>; James,Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <[eajames@bpa.gov](mailto:eajames@bpa.gov)>; [rjdiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:rjdiffely@bpa.gov)

**Cc:** Jack Moore <[Jack@ethree.com](mailto:Jack@ethree.com)>; Arne Olson <[arne@ethree.com](mailto:arne@ethree.com)>; Angineh Zohrabian <[angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com](mailto:angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com)>; Sierra Spencer <[sierra.spencer@ethree.com](mailto:sierra.spencer@ethree.com)>

**Subject:** RE: BPA-E3 Check-In

Hi all,

Suggested agenda for today's check in:

- **Costs:** confirm scope/use of \$/MWh data provided
- **Operational constraints:** E3 is still developing our proposed Pmin, Pmax, daily MWh budgets to share w/ BPA, but it may be useful to discuss Eve's notes (fish constraints, GENESYS heuristics, etc.)
- **Scenarios:** present an initial set of scenario inputs and get BPA's feedback

All the best,  
Aaron

-----Original Appointment-----

**From:** Aaron Burdick

**Sent:** Wednesday, March 2, 2022 4:53 PM

**To:** Aaron Burdick; Arne Olson; Jack Moore; Koehler, Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5; James, Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5; [ridiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:ridiffely@bpa.gov); Angineh Zohrabian; Sierra Spencer

**Subject:** BPA-E3 Check-In

**When:** Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:00 AM-12:00 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

**Where:** <https://ethree.webex.com/ethree/j.php?MTID=m228a4e26c5b763d73adb84c525782f42>

*Updating series from 30 mins to 1 hr.*

**Purpose:** check-in on lower snake river dams analysis.

~~~~~

-- Do not delete or change any of the following text. --

**When it's time, join your Webex meeting here.**

Join meeting

**More ways to join:**

**Join from the meeting link**

<https://ethree.webex.com/ethree/j.php?MTID=m228a4e26c5b763d73adb84c525782f42>

**Join by meeting number**

Meeting number (access code): (b)(6)

Meeting password: c5BSkxM2Sm8

**Tap to join from a mobile device (attendees only)**

+1-408-418-9388, (b)(6) # United States Toll

**Join by phone**

+1-408-418-9388 United States Toll

Global call-in numbers

**Join from a video system or application**

Dial (b)(6)@ethree.webex.com

You can also dial 173.243.2.68 and enter your meeting number.

If you are a host, [click here](#) to view host information.

Need help? Go to <https://help.webex.com>



---

**From:** James,Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5  
**Sent:** Friday, March 18, 2022 3:16 PM  
**To:** Aaron Burdick; Koehler,Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5; Diffely,Robert J (BPA) - PGPL-5  
**Cc:** Jack Moore; Arne Olson; Angineh Zohrabian; Sierra Spencer  
**Subject:** RE: BPA-E3 Check-In  
**Attachments:** SpringSpillRequirements.xlsx

*Deliberative; FOIA-exempt*

Next week is Oregon's spring break so my availability will be limited and I will be in the virtual office Friday. Attached is a spreadsheet with the spill parameter information that can be used to adjust the spill levels on the LSN and LCOL projects. I also included some snippets of the requirements and highlighted the assumptions I would use in modeling the adjustment. The summer spill in the CRSO EIS is actually lower than the 2005, 2011 operations so generation credit should be applied during summer spill and then the spring spill months have much higher levels so the generation needs to be decreased. 2001 historical data has no spill operation so the spill requirements will reduce generation from 4/3 – 8/31.

Thanks,  
Eve

---

**From:** Aaron Burdick <aaron.burdick@ethree.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 18, 2022 12:27 PM  
**To:** James,Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <ejames@bpa.gov>; Koehler,Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <bškoehler@bpa.gov>; Diffely,Robert J (BPA) - PGPL-5 <rjdifely@bpa.gov>  
**Cc:** Jack Moore <jack@ethree.com>; Arne Olson <arne@ethree.com>; Angineh Zohrabian <angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com>; Sierra Spencer <sierra.spencer@ethree.com>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: BPA-E3 Check-In

*Deliberative; FOIA-exempt*

Thanks Eve. Very helpful. We do see 0 MW during winter months, so we won't remove those. We just have hourly generation data, without explicit callouts for outages.

Aaron

---

**From:** James,Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <ejames@bpa.gov>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 18, 2022 12:00 PM  
**To:** Aaron Burdick <aaron.burdick@ethree.com>; Koehler,Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <bškoehler@bpa.gov>; Diffely,Robert J (BPA) - PGPL-5 <rjdifely@bpa.gov>  
**Cc:** Jack Moore <jack@ethree.com>; Arne Olson <arne@ethree.com>; Angineh Zohrabian <angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com>; Sierra Spencer <sierra.spencer@ethree.com>  
**Subject:** RE: BPA-E3 Check-In

*Deliberative; FOIA-exempt*

Hi Aaron-

The lower Snake projects are able to operate to 0 generation by choice (not outage related) in Dec – Feb so do not remove the 0 MW data during those months as they are likely correct to optimize reservoir content to generate during peak load periods. During the summer months when the projects are operating at "minimum generation spill the rest"

due to low flows, one unit loaded at each project results in a 4 dam generation total of ~320 MW. Does your dataset show if there were powerhouse outages in the historical time periods you are looking at?

Thanks,  
Eve

---

**From:** Aaron Burdick <[aaron.burdick@ethree.com](mailto:aaron.burdick@ethree.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, March 18, 2022 11:42 AM

**To:** James, Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <[eajames@bpa.gov](mailto:eajames@bpa.gov)>; Koehler, Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <[bgkoehler@bpa.gov](mailto:bgkoehler@bpa.gov)>; Diffely, Robert J (BPA) - PGPL-5 <[rjdiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:rjdiffely@bpa.gov)>

**Cc:** Jack Moore <[jack@ethree.com](mailto:jack@ethree.com)>; Arne Olson <[arne@ethree.com](mailto:arne@ethree.com)>; Angineh Zohrabian <[angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com](mailto:angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com)>; Sierra Spencer <[sierra.spencer@ethree.com](mailto:sierra.spencer@ethree.com)>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: BPA-E3 Check-In

*Deliberative; FOIA-exempt*

Quick question: we are doing some data quality checks on our historical hydro hourly data. Do you have a sense of the minimum generation for the 4 lower snake river dams? Clearly we'll remove a few 0 MW values we found, but am seeking your input on what a reasonable lower bound is for the 4 dams min gen, e.g. would they ever operate at a combined output of 50 MW or is that too small? 100 MW? 200 MW? Etc.

Thanks,  
Aaron

---

**From:** James, Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <[eajames@bpa.gov](mailto:eajames@bpa.gov)>

**Sent:** Thursday, March 17, 2022 10:07 AM

**To:** Aaron Burdick <[aaron.burdick@ethree.com](mailto:aaron.burdick@ethree.com)>; Koehler, Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <[bgkoehler@bpa.gov](mailto:bgkoehler@bpa.gov)>; Diffely, Robert J (BPA) - PGPL-5 <[rjdiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:rjdiffely@bpa.gov)>

**Cc:** Jack Moore <[jack@ethree.com](mailto:jack@ethree.com)>; Arne Olson <[arne@ethree.com](mailto:arne@ethree.com)>; Angineh Zohrabian <[angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com](mailto:angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com)>; Sierra Spencer <[sierra.spencer@ethree.com](mailto:sierra.spencer@ethree.com)>

**Subject:** RE: BPA-E3 Check-In

*Deliberative; FOIA-exempt*

Sounds good- let me know who would be best to talk through the parameter adjustments. I have some information that we used in an analysis to adjust some actual data when we were determining the cost of the new flexible spill program that was chosen as the spill operations for the CRSO EIS.

I also forgot to mention on my Tues email that I did confirm we would like to use the 2032 removal year as the primary assumption with 2024 as a scenario.

Thanks,  
Eve

---

**From:** Aaron Burdick <[aaron.burdick@ethree.com](mailto:aaron.burdick@ethree.com)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, March 16, 2022 5:38 PM

**To:** James, Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <[eajames@bpa.gov](mailto:eajames@bpa.gov)>; Koehler, Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <[bgkoehler@bpa.gov](mailto:bgkoehler@bpa.gov)>; Diffely, Robert J (BPA) - PGPL-5 <[rjdiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:rjdiffely@bpa.gov)>

**Cc:** Jack Moore <[jack@ethree.com](mailto:jack@ethree.com)>; Arne Olson <[arne@ethree.com](mailto:arne@ethree.com)>; Angineh Zohrabian <[angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com](mailto:angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com)>; Sierra Spencer <[sierra.spencer@ethree.com](mailto:sierra.spencer@ethree.com)>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: BPA-E3 Check-In



**Deliberative; FOIA-exempt**

Thanks Eve for this information. Regarding the hydro data, I think the best path is to adjust the parameters from our hydro model, which will avoid misalignments between the historical data we use to develop WECC-wide hydro inputs (including the hydro beyond the core northwest region). We'll follow up in the next day or two with a draft set for your review.

All the best,  
Aaron

---

**From:** James,Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <[ejames@bpa.gov](mailto:ejames@bpa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 15, 2022 5:05 PM  
**To:** Aaron Burdick <[aaron.burdick@ethree.com](mailto:aaron.burdick@ethree.com)>; Koehler,Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <[bgkoehler@bpa.gov](mailto:bgkoehler@bpa.gov)>; Diffely,Robert J (BPA) - PGPL-5 <[rjdiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:rjdiffely@bpa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Jack Moore <[jack@ethree.com](mailto:jack@ethree.com)>; Arne Olson <[arne@ethree.com](mailto:arne@ethree.com)>; Angineh Zohrabian <[angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com](mailto:angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com)>; Sierra Spencer <[sierra.spencer@ethree.com](mailto:sierra.spencer@ethree.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: BPA-E3 Check-In

**Deliberative; FOIA-exempt**

Thanks for sending out the action items Aaron. Here's the information for the BPA bullets:

- The F&W cost in the CRSO EIS was \$34,060,200 and spread evenly across the four plants results in a cost of generation:  
FY2022 \$/MWh

| Plant            | 50-year Forecast with LSRCF |
|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Lower Granite    | \$22.69                     |
| Little Goose     | \$15.71                     |
| Lower Monumental | \$12.58                     |
| Ice Harbor       | \$15.84                     |

**Cost Calculation:** The O&M budgets used a flat 2% inflation rate. Capital numbers were based on modeled replacement needs influenced by asset risk so they varied year-to-year before levelizing. The capital replacement assumptions were inflated per asset using the 2021 BPA common planning assumptions for inflation (attached). Costs were levelized using BPA's nominal discount rate of 6.2% so the result is a levelized cost in real 2022 dollars.

**Data availability:** The hourly modeling data available is only for the 80 water year set which ends in 2008. We are working on adding another 10 years to the water year set which would include 2011 but that won't be completed in time for this analysis. Either a different wet year would need to be selected (e.g. 1996 or 1997) or if wet year cannot be changed than some sort of adjustment to the Pmin, Pmax, and the daily MWh budget could be made to adjust the historical data to reflect higher spill operations and less generation for fish passage season. Let me know which option you would prefer.

---

**From:** Aaron Burdick <[aaron.burdick@ethree.com](mailto:aaron.burdick@ethree.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 15, 2022 12:09 PM  
**To:** Koehler,Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <[bgkoehler@bpa.gov](mailto:bgkoehler@bpa.gov)>; James,Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <[ejames@bpa.gov](mailto:ejames@bpa.gov)>; Diffely,Robert J (BPA) - PGPL-5 <[rjdiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:rjdiffely@bpa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Jack Moore <[jack@ethree.com](mailto:jack@ethree.com)>; Arne Olson <[arne@ethree.com](mailto:arne@ethree.com)>; Angineh Zohrabian <[angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com](mailto:angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com)>; Sierra Spencer <[sierra.spencer@ethree.com](mailto:sierra.spencer@ethree.com)>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: BPA-E3 Check-In



*Deliberative; FOIA-exempt*

Capturing action items from today's meeting:

- **BPA** to share fish and wildlife costs for continuing LSR dam operations
- **BPA** to share cost calculation details (levelization, dollar year, etc.) for the \$/MWh values provided
- **E3** to continue developing hydro constraints based on historical data and share w/ BPA in next couple days
- **BPA** to confirm availability of simulated hydro data w/ more recent biological operating constraints for the historical years currently being used in RESOLVE (calendar years 2001, 2005, and 2011)
- **E3** to incorporate today's discussion and share an updated scenario design for BPA review

All the best,  
Aaron

---

**From:** Aaron Burdick

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 15, 2022 9:56 AM

**To:** Koehler, Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5 <[bgkoehler@bpa.gov](mailto:bgkoehler@bpa.gov)>; James, Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5 <[eajames@bpa.gov](mailto:eajames@bpa.gov)>; [rjdiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:rjdiffely@bpa.gov)

**Cc:** Jack Moore <[Jack@ethree.com](mailto:Jack@ethree.com)>; Arne Olson <[arne@ethree.com](mailto:arne@ethree.com)>; Angineh Zohrabian <[angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com](mailto:angineh.zohrabian@ethree.com)>; Sierra Spencer <[sierra.spencer@ethree.com](mailto:sierra.spencer@ethree.com)>

**Subject:** RE: BPA-E3 Check-In

Hi all,

Suggested agenda for today's check in:

- **Costs:** confirm scope/use of \$/MWh data provided
- **Operational constraints:** E3 is still developing our proposed Pmin, Pmax, daily MWh budgets to share w/ BPA, but it may be useful to discuss Eve's notes (fish constraints, GENESYS heuristics, etc.)
- **Scenarios:** present an initial set of scenario inputs and get BPA's feedback

All the best,  
Aaron

-----Original Appointment-----

**From:** Aaron Burdick

**Sent:** Wednesday, March 2, 2022 4:53 PM

**To:** Aaron Burdick; Arne Olson; Jack Moore; Koehler, Birgit G (BPA) - PG-5; James, Eve A L (BPA) - PG-5; [rjdiffely@bpa.gov](mailto:rjdiffely@bpa.gov); Angineh Zohrabian; Sierra Spencer

**Subject:** BPA-E3 Check-In

**When:** Tuesday, March 15, 2022 11:00 AM-12:00 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).

**Where:** <https://ethree.webex.com/ethree/j.php?MTID=m228a4e26c5b763d73adb84c525782f42>

**Updating series from 30 mins to 1 hr.**

**Purpose:** check-in on lower snake river dams analysis.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~

~ Do not delete or change any of the following text. ~

**When it's time, join your Webex meeting here.**